NOTICE OF PREPARATION

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE
SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY
PROPOSED MASTER PLAN

DATE: October 8, 2018

TO: Agencies, Organizations, and Interested Parties

PROJECT TITLE: San Francisco State University Proposed Master Plan

LEAD AGENCY: The Board of Trustees of the California State University
401 Golden Shore
Long Beach, California 90802-4210

San Francisco State University
1600 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, California 94132

SUBJECT: Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for the
San Francisco State University Proposed Master Plan

The Board of Trustees of the California State University (Trustees) is the lead agency for the preparation of an environmental impact report (EIR) in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA; California Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.) and the CEQA Guidelines (Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations [CCR] 15000 et seq.); pursuant to the California State University CEQA Procedures for Land Use Planning and Environmental Review (referenced in State University Administrative Manual, Section 9016). Per California Education Code Section 66606, the Board of Trustees is the governing body and owner of the San Francisco State University (SF State) campus, and has the authority to certify the EIR, adopt the Master Plan map, and provide for schematic design approvals. SF State will act as point of contact for the CEQA process.

The Trustees have prepared this Notice of Preparation (NOP) in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Sections 15082(a) and 15375. The project consists of the proposed SF State Master Plan, including Project Design Features (PDFs) drawn from the Future State 2035 report (Project). The EIR will analyze the environmental effects of the proposed Master Plan and PDFs at a program level. Implementation of the Project would provide space and facility needs to support planned growth to 30,000 full-time-equivalent (FTE) students, with housing for approximately 40% of students and approximately 15% of faculty and staff. Overall, the Project would include approximately 4.7 million gross square feet (GSF) of net new building space for academic, student life and support, student housing, apartments for affiliates and non-affiliates, shared uses, administration, service centers, student recreation, athletics, and parking. Over the course of the plan horizon, approximately 22 existing campus buildings would be renovated. Additionally, outdoor athletics and recreation space
is planned. Buildout of the proposed development is expected to take place by 2035. See Project Description below for additional information about the Project.

**Agencies:** The Trustees request agencies’ views on the scope and content of the environmental information that is germane to an agency’s statutory responsibilities in connection with the Project, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Sections 15082(b) and 15103. Agencies may need to use the EIR to consider permits or other approvals.

**Organizations and Interested Parties:** The Trustees request comments and concerns regarding the scope and evaluation of potential environmental issues associated with the Project.

**Project Location:** The existing 144-acre SF State campus is located in the southwestern corner of the City and County of San Francisco, California. The SF State campus is generally bounded by Lake Merced Boulevard and the lake and its associated open spaces, including Harding Park, public and private golf courses, Fort Funston, and the San Francisco Zoo on the west; 19th Avenue (State Route 1) and residential development in the Ingleside neighborhood on the east; the Stonestown Galleria shopping center, Lowell High School, and Lakeshore Elementary School to the north; and Parkmerced and other residential development to the south. See attached Figures 1 through 3 for the regional and Project site location and setting.

**Project Description:** The Project consists of the proposed SF State Master Plan, including PDFs drawn from the Future State 2035 report. Figures 4 and 5 provide the existing and proposed Master Plan, respectively. Figure 6 provides an illustrative version of the proposed Master Plan.

The Central Campus includes the primary academic and student life functions of SF State. Organized around the Quad, this area contains the library, student center, activity and gathering spaces, and classroom spaces. The Project would build upon the Central Campus as a new student union and health center would replace the gymnasium and provide opportunities for expanded student dining, activities, and a new health center, with an outdoor plaza on an expanded Quad. A lecture hall and classroom building, combined with a welcome center, would define the east boundary of the Quad and form a new campus edge along 19th Avenue. The academic building program also includes six new academic buildings.

One of the Project’s objectives is to enhance connectivity between 19th Avenue and Lake Merced Boulevard by opening the Lower and Upper Valleys. The Valley is the remnant of a steep canyon cut by a seasonal stream that previously flowed west into Lake Merced and is now occupied by Cox Stadium, the campus parking structure, the campus central plant, a number of playing fields, and temporary buildings. Opening the Valley would visually and physically tie the north campus properties to the rest of the campus. Enhancing connectivity would require removing the existing parking structure in the Valley and consolidating central plant functions into a new sustainability center. The proposed sustainability center would transform the existing central plant building to include new utility infrastructure, an information technology (IT) data center, and space for observation and research of campus energy and water systems. The sustainability center would maintain portions of the central plant while providing for new pumps, a recycled water tank, heat exchangers, and other equipment. Wastewater treatment is also proposed to be located at the sustainability center, including primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment and disinfection. The
campus is evaluating the different system technologies, which could include mechanical systems (e.g., membrane bioreactor) and biological treatment systems (e.g., moving bed biological reactor). Finally, a new housing community for lower-division students would be constructed in the Lower Valley adjacent to the central recreational open space on the north.

With a phased sequence of housing development, the proposed Master Plan will transform SF State from a primarily commuter campus to a residential campus. Overall, the housing program includes student bed spaces, and apartments for affiliates and non-affiliates. Lower-division student housing would surround the new central recreational open space on the north and the south. Apartments for affiliates and non-affiliates, would be located in the northern portion of the campus at the site of the existing University Park North. Student support functions and gathering spaces would be located on the first floor of student housing buildings.

Shared uses include a new hotel and conference center constructed to the south of the Stonestown Galleria. A new innovation and leadership center for applied research between SF State faculty, students, and industry partners would be constructed to the east of the hotel and conference center. A plaza between the conference center and innovation center would provide access to campus from 20th Avenue, thereby enhancing connectivity with the Stonestown Galleria, an important shopping and employment destination for students. Together, these developments would create a university district for events, activities, and services involving the campus and beyond. A community center and childcare center would also be constructed to support the residential population.

Athletics buildings include a 3,500-seat events center in the southern portion of the campus for basketball and other events (e.g., convocations, academic conferences, public lectures, and concerts). The center would incorporate athletics and academic functions currently housed in the existing gymnasium north of the Quad. The athletics program also includes a new fieldhouse on the northern edge of the campus and replacement buildings at Cox stadium for press and fan amenities. Athletics uses also include approximately 4.6 acres of replacement fields, such as the relocation of the existing softball field and Maloney Field. Maloney Field would be relocated at an off-site location to be determined. An additional approximately 2.7 acres would be devoted to outdoor passive and active recreational uses.

Facilities and public safety building space includes campus operational office and shop space and a community policing center to replace the main campus police station. In addition, new police substations would be located within the on-campus residential communities. As noted above, the central plant would be renovated and expanded as a sustainability center, and three district plants would serve the campus, including mechanical equipment and battery storage co-located to the maximum extent feasible in each district plant location.

---

1Affiliate apartments would support the SF State Employee and Family Housing Program. The annual licensing agreement would be held by the affiliate, which are current SF State students, faculty, staff, and administrators. In non-affiliate apartments, the annual licensing agreement would be held by a person/s that never held, or no longer holds, a current affiliation with SF State. This group includes legacy tenants, as well as people that used to be employed by the university, but no longer hold those positions. In the future, this could also include people renting on the open market.
Additionally, with the removal of the parking garage in the Valley, two new parking garages would be constructed on the north and south edges of the campus. Overall, combined commuter and residential parking would result in a net decrease of approximately 625 parking spaces on campus.

The realignment of Buckingham Way is proposed in the northern part of campus to improve safety and visibility, as well as create a rational street grid with developable parcels. A one-block realignment of Cardenas Avenue between Holloway Avenue and Serrano Drive is also proposed, which would align with a major bike and pedestrian axis. This realignment would make a smooth transition in the north-south bike route between the campus and Parkmerced, creating a plaza immediately adjacent to the retail uses of the Holloway student housing and mixed-use development.

The Project would generally increase density on underdeveloped sites through the demolition of a number of outdated and inefficient campus buildings and structures, including the parking structure, humanities and social sciences building, science building, business building, creative arts, the gym, all or portions of University Park South and University Park North apartments, corporation yard, children’s campus, annexes I and II, fieldhouses I and II, Cox stadium restrooms and press box, Maloney Field, softball field, recycling resources center, former Sutro library, greenhouses, and temporary buildings. Table 1 provides a summary of the proposed space allocations.

The Project also includes PDFs that are drawn from the Future State 2035 report. These PDFs include key planning and design components of the Future State 2035 report necessary to ensure successful implementation of the proposed Master Plan. The PDFs include transportation; pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular circulation; parking; transportation demand management measures; open space; water, wastewater, and rain and stormwater collection and management; and energy and sustainability design features.

### TABLE 1
**PROPOSED MASTER PLAN BUILDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAMPUS SPACE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>GROSS SQUARE FEET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXISTING SPACE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Residential Campus Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,037,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Housing</td>
<td>3,510 beds</td>
<td>1,034,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Life and Support</td>
<td></td>
<td>626,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments for Affiliates and Non-Affiliates</td>
<td>570 units</td>
<td>565,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>3,550 spaces</td>
<td>614,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Existing Space</strong></td>
<td>3,510 beds / 570 units / 3,550 spaces</td>
<td><strong>4,878,040</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PREVIOUSLY APPROVED AND/OR EVALUATED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Arts Replacement Building</td>
<td></td>
<td>74,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Arts Auditorium</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holloway Mixed-Use</td>
<td>540 beds</td>
<td>260,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Approved Space</strong></td>
<td>540 beds</td>
<td><strong>345,900</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 1**

**PROPOSED MASTER PLAN BUILDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAMPUS SPACE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>GROSS SQUARE FEET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLANNED SPACE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Academic Space (new construction)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture Hall and Classrooms</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>246,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Buildings (6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>603,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planned Academic Space</td>
<td>—</td>
<td><strong>849,310</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Residential Space</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Housing</td>
<td>10,580 beds</td>
<td>2,579,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Life and Support</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>602,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments for Affiliates and Non-Affiliates</td>
<td>1,440 units</td>
<td>1,442,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planned Residential Space</td>
<td>10,580 beds / 1,440 units</td>
<td><strong>4,649,780</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Shared Space</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welcome Center</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel and Conference Center</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>428,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation and Leadership Center</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood-Serving Retail</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Replacement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planned Shared Space</td>
<td>—</td>
<td><strong>607,180</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Athletics Space</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events Center</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>149,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldhouse</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox Stadium Press Box</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox Stadium Fan Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planned Athletics Space</td>
<td>—</td>
<td><strong>166,200</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Other Space</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Centers</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>67,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planned Other Space</td>
<td>—</td>
<td><strong>90,630</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Existing and Approved and/or Evaluated Space</strong></td>
<td>4,060 beds / 570 units / 3,550 spaces</td>
<td><strong>5,223,940</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total New Space</strong></td>
<td>10,580 beds / 1,440 units / 2,790 spaces</td>
<td><strong>7,319,140</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Space Removed</strong></td>
<td>(2,020) beds / (570) units / (3,420) spaces</td>
<td><strong>(2,717,040)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROPOSED CAMPUS SPACE</strong></td>
<td>12,620 beds / 1,440 units / 2,920 spaces</td>
<td><strong>9,826,040</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL NET NEW SPACE WITH PROPOSED MASTER PLAN</strong></td>
<td>8,560 beds / 880 units / (620) spaces</td>
<td><strong>4,680,900</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. All numbers are approximate. Numbers are rounded to the nearest 10.
2. These projects were previously approved and/or evaluated in a prior CEQA document tiered to the 2007 Campus Master Plan EIR.
Potential Environmental Effects: The following key environmental issues are proposed to be addressed at a program level for the proposed Master Plan and related PDFs. Direct and indirect impacts will be analyzed for the short term (construction) and long term (life of the Project) based on thresholds of significance that meet state guidelines and accepted professional standards and practice. Mitigation measures will be identified for impacts determined to be significant. The EIR will include a section that identifies other issues that were found to not result in significant impacts.

Aesthetics. The existing setting of the campus and surrounding area will be described, including scenic views, scenic resources, visual characteristics, and existing sources of light and glare. The EIR will analyze potential aesthetics impacts of the Project on the campus and surrounding areas. Two visual simulations from long-range vantage points will be included as the basis for describing potential effects on views from public vantage points. If potentially significant visual impacts are identified, feasible mitigation measures will be included in the EIR.

Air Quality. This section of the EIR will be based on estimates of emissions and associated changes in air quality that would be likely to occur as a result of the Project. Pollutants of concern will include criteria pollutants and toxic air contaminants. Emissions associated with Project construction and operation will be estimated using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). The results will be compared to significance thresholds developed by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. The EIR will also evaluate whether Project traffic and/or construction activities could lead to potential exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air pollutant emissions. The air quality section will analyze the impacts of the Project and recommend mitigation measures to reduce potentially significant impacts.

Biological Resources. The EIR will describe existing biological resources on and in the vicinity of the campus and assess the potential for special-status species and sensitive habitats to occur. A site reconnaissance of the campus and a database and literature review to gather data will be conducted to characterize existing conditions. The biological resources section will analyze the impacts of the Project and recommend mitigation measures to reduce potentially significant impacts.

Cultural Resources. This section of the EIR will evaluate the potential for Project development to affect cultural resources, including archaeological, historical, paleontological, and tribal cultural resources. The EIR will use existing documentation, supplemented with updated records searches and field reconnaissance surveys, to evaluate potential impacts of development accommodated by the Project on cultural resources. Historic-age buildings proposed for demolition or substantial renovation will be evaluated for historical significance. The cultural resources section will analyze impacts of the Project and identify mitigation measures to reduce potentially significant impacts.

Geology/Soils. The impact analysis under this resource area will focus on the potential for the Project to expose people or structures to effects from fault rupture, seismic ground shaking, ground failure, lateral spreading, liquefaction, or other similar conditions. Additionally, the regulations that are in place (such as the Uniform Building Code) to minimize the potential for significant impacts will be identified. Geologic and soils impacts resulting from future development will be assessed based on previous geologic and soils studies as appropriate, including the 2007 Master Plan EIR and previously completed geotechnical reports for the campus. The EIR will evaluate the severity of potential impacts and propose mitigation measures where necessary to reduce any significant impacts identified.
Greenhouse Gas Emissions. The EIR will include a setting and background discussion consisting of a summary of the greenhouse effect and global climate change; potential changes to the global climate system and to California; and emissions inventories at the national, state, and local levels. It will also include a summary of the key federal, state, and local regulatory actions and programs to reduce GHG emissions. GHG emissions resulting from the Project will be estimated using the CalEEMod emissions model. The net change in operational GHG emissions relative to those under the baseline scenario will be calculated. Consistency with the California State University Sustainability Policy will be qualitatively assessed. Mitigation measures will be identified to reduce potentially significant GHG impacts.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials. This section of the EIR will be based on a current review and evaluation of past and current uses of the subject property for indications of the manufacture, generation, use, storage and/or disposal of hazardous substances, and evaluation of potential soil and/or groundwater contamination resulting from current and historical land use activities, including those of nearby properties. Demolition will focus on potential asbestos-containing materials, lead-based paint, and potentially contaminated soil. New construction will similarly address the potential for encountering contaminated soil during excavation and grading. Where potentially significant impacts are identified, mitigation measures to reduce impacts will be identified.

Hydrology and Water Quality. Drainage and water quality impacts will be evaluated, taking into account campus rain and stormwater collection plans and state requirements. The EIR will include a review of the Project’s regulatory context, development standards pertaining to water quality, and their applicability to campus improvements. The EIR will include a qualitative evaluation of potential effects on the rate, volume, and quality of stormwater runoff based on Project plans. The evaluation will address areas that drain to Lake Merced, if any, and to the City’s combined sewer/storm drain system. Potential impacts will be compared against existing conditions, and mitigation measures will be identified, where necessary, to avoid or substantially reduce impacts.

Land Use and Planning. The land use and planning section of the EIR will evaluate potential conflicts of the Project with applicable land use plans and policies, such as the current 2007 Campus Master Plan for SF State. Although local land use plans and regulations, such as the City and County of San Francisco (City) General Plan and Zoning Code, do not apply to SF State, key policies and codes will be discussed for information purposes. If appropriate, mitigation measures will be recommended to reduce potentially significant land-use impacts.

Noise and Vibration. This section will include an acoustical analysis evaluating noise impacts upon noise-sensitive land uses within or adjacent to campus, as generated by temporary (construction, demolition) and long-term (Project-generated traffic, parking structure, and other on-site operations) activities associated with the Project. The EIR will also evaluate noise exposure levels for proposed noise-sensitive Project components (i.e., student residential buildings). Noise measurements will be conducted to determine existing noise levels. The primary roads of focus for existing and future traffic noise level exposure will be Lake Merced Boulevard, 19th Avenue, Holloway Avenue, Winston Drive, and Buckingham Way. Future on-site traffic noise levels at the proposed noise-sensitive facilities will be determined based on the results of the noise measurements and modeling of future traffic volumes using Federal Highway Administration models. Off-site traffic noise impacts associated with Project-generated traffic along the adjoining roads will also be evaluated. Future noise levels at noise-sensitive receptors on
campus and off campus will be reviewed. If significant noise impacts are identified, feasible mitigation measures will be developed.

Population and Housing. The EIR will evaluate the Project to determine whether implementation would induce substantial population growth, create a substantial new demand for housing that exceeds existing or planned supply, or displace a substantial number of existing housing or people requiring the construction of replacement housing. The EIR will include a quantitative summary of existing on-campus housing and on-campus housing proposed to be added at Project buildout, and compare that to the net increase in student, faculty, staff, and community population associated with Project buildout. Off-campus housing impacts will be assessed based on residual campus demand, if any, and available supply. If significant housing impacts are identified, feasible mitigation measures will be developed.

Given that the Project would involve demolition of existing housing on campus, the EIR will discuss SF State’s approach to complying with the California Relocation Assistance Act (California Government Code 7260 et seq.), which applies to state entities that may displace residents and businesses. This act generally requires that public entities provide relocation assistance to persons who are displaced as the result of the acquisition of property for a public use. Since the acquisition of University Park South and University Park North by SF State, the number of legacy tenants has declined substantially. SF State would develop a relocation plan in accordance with the California Relocation Assistance Act and the CSU Relocation Assistance Program Handbook. The EIR will document the approach to providing any displaced non-university affiliates with relocation assistance.

Public Services and Recreation. Existing conditions related to fire protection service, police protection service, parks and recreation, and schools will be described. The increase in campus population as a result of the Project will be reviewed to determine whether the Project would result in potentially significant impacts to performance levels of these public services, and thus result in substantial physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, consistent with CEQA Guidelines Appendix G guidance. If significant public services and recreation impacts are identified, feasible mitigation measures will be developed.

Transportation and Traffic. A transportation impact study (TIS) will be prepared for the EIR to evaluate potential impacts of the Project on the surrounding transportation system, including roadways, transit service, pedestrian facilities, and bicycle facilities. The TIS will be prepared generally following the guidelines of the California State University (Transportation Impact Study Manual, 2012), which are currently pending revision to address the pending versions to the CEQA Guidelines related to SB 743. Guidelines of the City and County of San Francisco and Caltrans will also be considered. The TIS will include analysis of vehicle miles traveled (VMT). If significant transportation impacts are identified, feasible mitigation measures will be developed.

Utilities and Service Systems. The EIR will address water supply, wastewater treatment, solid waste, and electrical and natural gas utility services. Stormwater drainage utilities will be addressed in the hydrology section of the EIR. The EIR will document and update existing conditions and provide impact assessments for these utilities.
Other CEQA-Required Sections. In accordance with CEQA requirements, cumulative impacts, alternatives, and growth-inducement effects of the Project will be analyzed. Additionally, this section of the EIR will include a discussion of other issues that were found to not result in significant impacts.

Public Review Period: The Trustees have issued this NOP for public review and comment pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15082(a) and 15375. The Trustees have established a 30-day public review and scoping period from October 8, 2018 to November 7, 2018, in accordance with the CEQA Guidelines (14 CCR 15082). During this period, the NOP will be available for review online at the following website: http://plan.sfsu.edu/resources.

The NOP will also be available for review at the following locations during regular business hours for the locations:

- J. Paul Leonard Library at SFSU
  1600 Holloway Avenue
  San Francisco, California 94132

- Merced Branch Library
  155 Winston Drive
  San Francisco, California 94132

- Ocean View Branch Library
  345 Randolph Street
  San Francisco, California 94132

Scoping Comments: At this time, the Board of Trustees are soliciting comments on the scope and content of the EIR. Comments may be submitted by mail or email, or by attending the Public Scoping Meeting (see details below) and submitting a written comment. All comments should indicate a contact person for your agency or organization, if applicable. All comments should be sent to the following address, to arrive no later than 5:00 p.m. on November 7, 2018:

Brandon Kline, J.D., LL.M.
Associate Director for Environmental Programs
San Francisco State University
1600 Holloway Avenue, CY 201
San Francisco, California 94132
T: 628.256.5623
brandonkline@sfsu.edu

Public Scoping Meeting: The Board of Trustees will hold a Scoping Meeting to give the public an opportunity to receive more information on the Project, and to provide comments and suggestions on the scope of the EIR. All members of the public and interested persons are welcome to attend and provide comments. The details of this meeting are as follows:

Date: November 1, 2018

Time: 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.

Place: J. Paul Leonard Library, Events Room (LIB 121)

Visitor & Travel Information: http://parking.sfsu.edu/visitor-information

Campus Map: http://www.sfsu.edu/~sfsumap/
**FURTHER INFORMATION:** For environmental review information or questions about the Project, please contact Brandon Kline at 628.256.5623 or brandonkline@sfsu.edu.

Barry Jodat
Associate Vice President for Capital Planning, Design, and Construction
San Francisco State University

Jason M. Porth
Vice President for University Enterprises
San Francisco State University
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