NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
FOR COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES
Science Replacement Building, Project Number SF-01736
San Francisco State University
1600 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94132

The Trustees of The California State University are requesting Statements of Qualifications (SOQ) from interested and qualified design-builders (Respondents) to provide design, preconstruction, and design-build construction services for the above-named Project. The Trustees will select a design-build team consisting of a general contractor and architect (Design-Builder) using a two-step process: (1) this Request for Qualifications (RFQ) from which Respondents may submit SOQ to the Trustees, and (2) the Request for Proposals (RFP) which the Trustees will issue to the shortlisted firms. A technical review committee shall review the respondents’ SOQ and, based on the criteria identified in the RFQ, the committee shall select no more than five finalists to receive the Request for Proposals. Selection of the winning Proposer will be based on qualifications and proposed fees (a design competition is not utilized). Collaborative Design-Build is a two-phased delivery process: Design Phase and Design-Build Phase, and the Trustees will issue a separate contract for each phase.

The Project consists of:

- Demolish approximately 80,000 gross square feet (GSF) of an existing 130,000 GSF, 3 story, concrete and frame construction Science facility built between 1950 – 1960
- Secure the 50,000 GSF scheduled to remain and make safe so the building can be occupied during construction
- Renovate approximately 40,000 GSF of space within the existing building to remain
- Construct a new, 105,000 GSF Science building with both wet and dry teaching labs, lecture classrooms, administration space, and support space for student led activities
- Landscape architecture implementation and other improvements to the project site

SOQ Submittal Due Date and Time: 5pm July 17th, 2019
Estimated Design and Construction Cost: $124,000,000.00
Contractor License Requirement: B

RFQ Respondents Conference and Site Inspection to be held on June 25th at 10:30 am
Located at 1600 Holloway Ave, Corp Yard 101, San Francisco, CA 94132

CSU Point of Contact for Information: Ronald Kirkpatrick, (415) 405-3865, rkirkp@sfsu.edu

To search for and view this project advertisement, Respondents shall register and log in on the “California State Contracts Register” (CSCR) at https://caleprocure.ca.gov/pages/Events-BS3/event-search.aspx. If Respondent experiences problems viewing this website, contact the CSU point of contact named above for assistance.

RFQ documents will be available on or after June 11, 2019 on the “PlanetBids Public Solicitation Portal” website, http://psp.planetbids.com/g/81563/. Respondents shall first register as a vendor and then log in to the PlanetBids Public Solicitation Portal to search for this project and download the RFQ documents. If Respondent experiences problems viewing this website, contact the CSU point of contact named above for assistance.

Respondents must be prequalified with the Trustees. To do so, submit the prequalification applications by July 2, 2019. Respondents shall go to the following PlanetBids website to register, log in and then select the Prequalification tab to apply.


Direct any prequalification questions to the Trustees’ Prequalification Administrator at cocom.prequal@calstate.edu.

The Trustees shall give a small business a bid advantage of five percent up to a maximum of $50,000 to contracting firms that have been certified as a “Small Business” by the Department of General Services, Office of Small Business and DVBE Services, in accordance with Contract General Conditions (and Supplementary General Conditions) for Collaborative Design-Build Major Projects, Article 32.10, Small Business Five Percent Bid Advantage.

Additionally, the Trustees require three percent Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise (DVBE) participation in all contracts, in accordance with Contract General Conditions (and Supplementary General Conditions) for Collaborative
Design-Build Major Projects, Article 32.12-g, DVBE Incentive. When it nears time to bid trades, the selected design-builder shall contact the Trustees’ DVBE Coordinator Azure Burmeister at 415-338-1456, azuremay@sfsu.edu.

Respondents shall be familiar with all of the provisions in the Contract General Conditions and Supplementary General Conditions, especially the insurance requirements for the CSU Builders Risk Insurance Program (BRIP) and for the CSU Owner-Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP). This Project will be enrolled into the BRIP, and may be enrolled into the OCIP, if so specified in the Supplementary General Conditions. Respondents may review OCIP documents online at http://www.calstate.edu/cpdc/CM/OCIP.shtml.

This Project is a public works project and is subject to prevailing wage rate laws (Contract General Conditions, Article 36.02). All Respondents/Proposers and all tiers of subcontractors who will work on this Project shall register to bid and work on public works projects with the Dept. of Industrial Relations (DIR), and maintain current this registration per Labor Code section 1725.5. For more information, go to http://www.dir.ca.gov/Public-Works/PublicWorks.html.

-End Notice to Contractors-
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
FOR
COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES

Bid Solicitation # E001017
Science Replacement Building, Project Number SF-01736
San Francisco State University
1600 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94132

1 - INTRODUCTION

The State of California, acting through the Board of Trustees of The California State University, hereinafter called Trustees, on behalf of San Francisco State University, hereinafter called University, is requesting Statements of Qualifications (SOQ) from interested and qualified Design-Builders (Respondents) to provide design, preconstruction and design-build construction services for the project referenced above (Project).

The delivery method for this Project is a modified form of the Trustees’ Design-Build process, called Collaborative Design-Build. This is a two-phased project delivery process: Phase 1-Design and Preconstruction Services to define the Project, hereinafter referred to as Phase 1, and Phase 2-Design-Build Construction Services to complete the design and construct the Project, hereinafter referred to as Phase 2. There will be separate agreements for each phase. This modified process selects the Design-Builder based on qualifications and proposed fees (a design competition is not utilized).

During Phase 1 the University will issue a design and preconstruction services agreement, under which the Design-Builder will: complete the programming; develop the schematic design; commit to a guaranteed maximum price (GMP) and schedule; start Design Development; confirm other criteria are appropriate; and obtain CSU Board of Trustees (BOT) approval. Upon receipt of both the BOT approval of the schematic design and the University’s authorization to proceed, Design-Builder shall: continue with the design development; publish a set of the Design-Build Construction Documents; and establish and submit a GMP to the Trustees.

During Phase 2 the University will issue a GMP collaborative design-build agreement incorporating: the Design-Build Contract Documents; updated criteria; direct construction cost budget; GMP; contingency; remaining design fees; site management fees; payment and performance bond premiums; overhead and profit; and schedule as agreed upon in Phase 1.

The Trustees are not obligated to proceed with Phase 2 with the selected Design-Builder. The Design-Builder is not obligated to proceed with Phase 2 if it so determines that the budget is not adequate, or for other business reasons. Phase 1 work products and electronic files of the Design-Builder are the property of the Trustees. In the event the Trustees and Design-Builder do not continue into Phase 2, these documents will be used by the University in any manner, including use as bridging documents for subsequent contracts.

2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Science Replacement Building project will be approximately 144,555 gross-square-foot (GSF), of which 39,555 GSF is contained within portions of the existing Science Building to remain and 105,000 GSF will be new construction. The site is located on the eastern edge of the SF State campus, between Hensill Hall to the north and the HSS Building to the south (see Exhibit A). There is an existing science building on the site. Three wings on the east side of the existing building were built in the 1960s, and three wings on the west side were built during the 1950s. The 1960s portion of the existing building will be demolished to make room for a new building that must be less than 75 feet above ground. Approximately 30% of the western wings (see Exhibit A) were renovated in 2015 and will be retained as is. The remaining areas in the western wings will be renovated. The Collaborative Design-Build team shall investigate the most architecturally and programmatically effective means for connecting the existing and new structures. One possibility may be a glazed interstitial atrium that creates a co-curricular connection between the existing and new spaces on the site. The unified structure will house programs from the College of Science and
Engineering (CoSE) which are currently located in the existing Science Building and Thornton Hall. The Project is expected to achieve a minimum of LEED Gold (see Section 8, Project Specific Requirements).

During Schematic Design, the Collaborative Design-Build team will study adding an additional 25,000 square feet to the new construction as an add / alternate. The additional space will be offices and basic classrooms for the College of Extended Learning (CEL). The cost for this alternate will be in addition to the project budget listed below and shall be itemized separately. The CEL space must be contiguous and self-contained. The preference is for it to occupy its own floor, although this is only an option if doing so does not force the building to be more than 75 feet high.

The new Science Replacement Building is envisioned as a modern, collaborative facility that supports the instructional and teaching-related research needs of CoSE. It will provide lower division and upper division teaching labs; undergraduate and graduate research labs; large, flat-floor flexible interdisciplinary lecture classroom; makerspace for prototyping and student projects; tutoring and student advising space; and social space that allows for informal collaboration and learning. It will also include faculty shared workstations, conference rooms, laboratory support space, and a new office suite for the CoSE dean.

Facing 19th Avenue to the east and the central Quad to the west, the Project site has high visibility along the campus’s two most public faces. The site is located at the very heart of the SF State campus. It is immediately adjacent to Hensill and Thornton Halls, both of which house other CoSE programs. It is also near the main academic core, allowing for easy collaboration between CoSE and other colleges. The site has a moderate slope down from the eastern edge at 19th Street to the Quad on the west side. It is mostly flat in the north / south direction. All major utilities managed by the SF State Facilities Department (electrical, domestic water, fire water, campus hot water loop, etc.) are in place and easily accessible from the site. SF State will identify which mature trees located on the site should be preserved and incorporated into the new landscape design.

The Direct Construction Cost Budget is approximately $105,000,000.00 including escalation through 2023.

- The contract duration for the Phase 2 agreement is approximately 1020 calendar days. The budget and duration will be established in the RFP.
- The contract specifies that liquidated damages are $1,000.00 for each calendar day construction completion is late.
- The Design-Builder is required to be licensed in the state of California with a ‘B’ license and registered to bid public works with the California Department of Industrial Relations (DIR).
- The Design-Builder is required to be prequalified by the CSU Prequalification Program Administrator; refer to RFQ Section 4.
- The Architect is required to be licensed in the state of California.
- The Architect is required to be prequalified by the Trustees, and may visit the following website for more details and contact information: http://www.calstate.edu/cpdc/ae/prequal/app_for_prequal.shtml

3 - SCOPE OF DESIGN-BUILDER'S WORK

The Trustees will specify the work to be performed by the Design-Builder in the Phase 1 agreement and the subsequent Phase 2 agreement. The services that will be required of the Design-Builder are identified within CSU’s sample documents for design, design-build services, and procedure guidelines, all of which are available for download at https://www.calstate.edu/cpdc/cm/rfp-collaborative-design-build.shtml. By submitting an SOQ, the Respondent represents that it is qualified and capable to provide the requirements of these agreements.

The following is a brief overview of the services the Design-Builder will be required to perform, if awarded both the Phase 1 and the Phase 2 agreements:

1. Provide cost estimates per CSU schematic design level requirements, review and confirm the initial budget and provide continuous cost management to assure the schematic and final design remains within the budgeted cost estimate.
2. Fully program the Project.
3. Schedule the Project, as appropriate, to conform to Project scope and Trustees’ parameters.
4. Confirm all other Project criteria are appropriate and fully detailed.
5. Develop the schematic design deliverables per the CSU requirements and assist with presentation to the CPDC committee and the Board of Trustees.
6. Commit to a GMP at the end of schematic design, or during Design Development, as agreed by the Trustees.
7. Provide Phase 1 services per CSU guidelines and as necessary to bid and construct the Project.
8. Procure all agency review, peer review and local agency approvals as required.
9. Provide construction planning, phasing, and scheduling during Phase 1 through Phase 2.
10. Develop and maintain a Project schedule that incorporates all tasks and approvals of all involved parties necessary to complete the Project within the contract durations.
11. Provide preconstruction and construction quality assurance.
12. Incorporate Design-Assist and Design-Build trade contractors as appropriate and as proposed.
13. Publicly advertise and prequalify trade contractors to comply with Trustees’ standards.
14. Comply with requirements to subcontract a minimum of three percent (3%) of the Project to DVBE subcontractors.
15. Develop Trade Contractor Bid Packages and receive bids in the most logical, competitive, and seamless manner.
16. Pay prevailing wages and comply with prevailing wage laws.
17. Manage and administer the Project construction phase to achieve construction completion within the contract time and budget and with high quality workmanship.

4 - DESIGN-BUILDER SELECTION PROCESS

The Trustees will select the Design-Builder using a two-step process. The first step in the selection process focuses on selecting the most qualified firms. The Trustees issue this RFQ, to which respondents may submit SOQs to the Trustees. The Trustees will appoint a selection committee to review and score the SOQs. The highest scoring four firms above the minimum qualifying score (50% of total maximum points) with all required qualifications will continue in the selection process. Unsuccessful firms will be notified.

The second step in the process will focus on the selection of the firm with team members that are most suited to the Project. The Trustees will issue the Draft Request for Proposals (RFP) to the short-listed finalists, and hold pre-proposal meetings, after which the Trustees will issue the Final RFP. The Trustees’ selection committee will score the proposals from the finalists, conduct interviews, and perform reference checks. The Trustees will award a Phase 1, Design and Preconstruction Services agreement for schematic design to the highest scoring firm, who will become the successful Design-Builder. The judgment of the Trustees in this selection process is not subject to appeal.

All Respondents must be prequalified with the Trustees one day prior to the SOQ due date, or their SOQ submission will not be considered. The last day to submit an application for prequalification online to the CSU Chancellor’s Office is indicated on the enclosed schedule. Each Respondent’s prequalification rating must be greater than the budgeted Phase 2, Design-Build Construction Services agreement amount for this Project. For information regarding prequalification, go to: [http://www.calstate.edu/cpdc/cm/contractor_prequal_bidders.shtml](http://www.calstate.edu/cpdc/cm/contractor_prequal_biders.shtml), and click on the link for PlanetBids.

If the Trustees award neither the Phase 1, Design and Preconstruction Services agreement, nor the Phase 2, Design-Build Construction Services agreement, Respondents will not be entitled to recover any monetary awards of any type whatsoever. The Trustees reserve the right to reject all responses to this RFQ. The Trustees may terminate the Design-Builder’s Phase 1 agreement prior to completion and seek to complete the Project by other means or abandon the Project. There is no guarantee the Trustees will award the Phase 2 agreement.
5 - TENTATIVE SELECTION PROCESS SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule Activities</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFQ Advertised</td>
<td>June 11, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFQ Conference (Non Mandatory) see section 10</td>
<td>June 25, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last day to submit application for prequalification online</td>
<td>July 2, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last day to submit RFQ questions</td>
<td>July 2, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFQ Addenda issued (if required)</td>
<td>July 10, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Qualifications due</td>
<td>5 pm July 17, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortlist published</td>
<td>July 31, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFP distributed to shortlist</td>
<td>August 1, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Proposal Meetings (Week of)</td>
<td>August 19, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposals due date and time</td>
<td>2 pm September 26, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposing firms interviewed</td>
<td>October 8, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful Proposer announced</td>
<td>October 15, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 (design and preconstruction services) agreement executed</td>
<td>November 14, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schematic design BOT submittal due</td>
<td>April 6, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2 (design build) agreement executed</td>
<td>August 10, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of Completion</td>
<td>June 30, 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design-Build contract duration</td>
<td>34 Months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above schedule is a proposed schedule that is subject to change. The Phase 1 and Phase 2 agreements, if awarded, will identify the schedule commitments. Any changes to the schedule for the RFQ/RFP process will be issued to all Respondents/Proposers via addenda.

6 - INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTING AN SOQ

Statement of Qualifications must be received in the Managing Office shown herein before the date and time shown in the Selection Process Schedule.

Respondents for this Project shall submit a Statement of Qualifications in accordance with the following instructions:

1. Provide all information requested in this RFQ.
2. Provide information as it pertains to your team. When referencing projects that were joint ventures, or individual efforts, indicate such and explain each JV member firm’s role in the project.
3. The SOQ should be well organized, as concise and complete as possible, while still providing the requested information.
4. Where contact information is requested, include the company name, address and a company representative’s name, phone number and e-mail address.
5. Submit five copies of the SOQ in “8 ½ x 11” format and one digital file in PDF format. Deliver the SOQ in a sealed package marked on the outside “SOQ for” and add the Project Name, Project No., and Campus.
6. Deliver the SOQ to the Managing Office for the Selection Process prior to the date and time indicated in the Selection Process Schedule.

7 - MANAGING OFFICE FOR THE SELECTION PROCESS

Respondents shall direct all communications concerning the selection process to the Managing Office for the Selection Process. In e-mail communications, place the name of the Project in the subject line.

The Managing Office for the Design-Build Selection Process is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ronald Kirkpatrick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus, Dept.</td>
<td>San Francisco State University, Capital Planning, Design &amp; Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address (mailing):</td>
<td>1600 Holloway Avenue, Corporate Yard 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>(415) 405 - 3865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Mail:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rkirkp@sfsu.edu">rkirkp@sfsu.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 - SOQ REQUIRED INFORMATION AND SCORING

Provide the following information in your SOQ. Each question will be scored against an ideal response which, in the opinion of the Trustees’ Selection Committee, would receive the maximum number of points possible, as indicated herein. When describing experience, indicate if the experience is of the firm and/or the individuals proposed for the project. The SOQ evaluation is weighted towards the experience of the firm and not of the individuals proposed for the project. If all information is not provided, the SOQ may not be considered. The Trustees may, at its discretion, call the contacts provided or others as may become known for reference checks. SOQs should be organized with numbered tabs corresponding to the following questions. Provide concise and complete responses; non-requested information and lengthy responses are discouraged.

Required Information:  

1. Cover letter confirming that Respondent’s SOQ submittal is in response to this RFQ and agrees to enter into schematic design and design-build contracts if selected, and all information in the SOQ is accurate under penalty of perjury.

   Describe any lawsuits to which you have been a party with any of your Project owners in the last 5 years. Describe if you were the plaintiff or defendant, a brief summary of each case, and the outcome. If there have been none, make that statement.

2. Organization Information, provide this information separately for the General Contractor and the Architect:
   - Identify Respondent’s full legal name, type of business entity, physical and mailing address, phone, fax, and website.
   - Provide the address of the office that will manage this Project, and contact information of the project manager including email address of the primary contact for this SOQ.

3. Copy of Respondent’s CSU prequalification letter.

4. Copies of Respondent’s California Contractor’s License and DIR public works registration number.

5. Project Specific Requirements:
   - **Existing Conditions:** This project will require creating a thoughtful design that connects the existing 1950s era structure with the new construction. Some portions of the building to remain may require some renovation as well. The Proposing team shall include examples of projects with similar requirements that they have successfully completed, either together or individually.

   - **Managing Disruption:** SF State anticipates that some of the 1950s building scheduled to remain will be occupied by students, faculty and staff during construction of the new building. Proposing teams (either together or individually) shall provide examples of successful projects that were constructed on sites in very close proximity to occupied structures.

   - **Phasing:** It is possible that the complex nature of managing the construction site for this project will require a detailed phasing plan. Proposing teams shall provide examples of successfully completed projects, either together or by individual team members, that faced similar challenges.

   - **Permits:** This project may be subject to the review and approval of many regulatory bodies, including by not necessarily limited to:
     - Office of the State Fire Marshal
     - Division of the State Architect
     - CSU Mechanical Review Board
     - CSU Seismic Review Board
     - Third party structural peer reviewer

   Maximum Score

   0 points

   0 points

   0 points

   0 points

   0 points

   50 points
Proposing teams shall provide examples of strategies they have developed to successfully navigate through this process effectively and without delays to the schedule.

- **Utilities**: Coordination problems between the project team and non-SF State controlled utilities (PG&E, Communications Services, etc.) are often a cause for major delays and unexpected additional costs. The project team shall provide details about how lessons learned from working with utility providers on other projects can be applied to this job.

- **Logistics**: During construction the new building site will be bound on three sides by existing buildings that will remain occupied while the work is underway. The fourth side is a wide, heavily trafficked arterial street (19th Avenue).Proposing teams shall provide examples of successfully completed projects that also faced similar constraints.

- **Diversity**: SF State is committed to building mutually beneficial relationships with vendors that reflect the diversity of our campus and regional community. Proposers shall clearly demonstrate how their team represents a similar commitment to welcoming all segments of Bay Area community into their workplace.

- **High-Performance Buildings**: The CSU spends over $140M annually on purchasing energy. Any money saved on utilities can be spent elsewhere in higher education. SF State is targeting zero energy and water for all new buildings. Teams shall provide examples of projects that achieve high levels of energy and water efficiency.

- **Climate Neutrality**: California State University (CSU) is the high quality, affordable, inclusive higher education for California. 15 CSU campuses have signed a Carbon Neutrality pledge. The tension between maintaining CSU's world-class performance and increasing social mobility for our students (by remaining affordable and inclusive) results in needing to operate capital assets for much longer than the typical industry expected useful lifetimes (EULs). To meet its 2040 carbon emissions reductions goals, CSU must eliminate emissions from natural gas combustion. Teams shall provide examples of durable, long-lasting buildings with low operational and embodied carbon.

- **Sustainability**: SF State is committed to building a resilient and sustainable campus, making efficient use of resources, producing little waste or harmful by-products, and providing healthy environments for students. Proposing teams (either together or individually) shall provide examples of projects that demonstrate leadership in delivering sustainable projects that are innovative, sustainable, and beautiful.

- **Design Intent**: This project is the second new academic building constructed on the SF State campus since 1994. Located between a major transportation corridor along 19th Street and the campus Quad, the project will be highly visible from both on and off campus. Therefore the siting and architecture of this building will be critical to the image of the University. The building must reflect and enhance SF State's educational and civic mission and proudly celebrate the diversity and dynamic energy of the SF State community. It must also reinforce the creation of a cohesive campus experience while simultaneously expressing the individuality of the College of Science and Engineering. Teams shall provide evidence of creating exceptional landscapes and buildings that respond to the context of an existing campus.
6. Respondent’s general design-build experience for projects that the firms (GC and AE) have worked on together:
   - Describe projects that are similar in size and complexity, and show how these projects are relevant to the proposed project.
   - Provide a matrix indicating all proposed team members and their joint project experience, if any. Give titles, names and positions.
   - Provide project owner references for the responding architect and contractor team, and include contact information for each project owner reference (provide project owner names and owner point-of-contact names and respective e-mail addresses and telephone numbers).

7. General Contractor’s science and engineering building Experience:
   - List and describe the project experience of the general contracting firm on science and engineering building projects, including location, size, cost and year of completion.
   - Describe how this experience is relevant and similar in size and complexity to the proposed project.
   - The emphasis should be on demonstration of design-build experience.
   - Provide owner and/or architect references and contact information for these projects.

8. Architect’s science and engineering building Experience:
   - List and describe the project experience of the design firm on similar science and engineering building projects including location, size, cost and year of completion.
   - Describe how this experience is relevant and similar in size and complexity to the proposed project.
   - The emphasis should be on demonstration of design-build experience.
   - Provide owner and/or contractor references and contact information for these projects.


10. The Trustees’ prequalification letter (see #4 above) will also indicate the Respondent’s Composite Weighted Safety Score. The Trustees will rank each responding firm according to this Composite Weighted Safety Score. Two points will be awarded for each point above the minimum Composite Safety Score of 25.

11. Respondent’s unique qualifications to perform on this Project.

12. Respondent’s experience within 60 miles of the Project for both the contractor and architect.

13. During the past five (5) years was your firm (GC or AE) required to pay penalties for failure to pay prevailing wages? If yes, please provide a description of each instance and the amount of penalties paid.

| TOTAL Maximum Points | 450 points |

9 - QUESTIONS

Respondents must submit all questions regarding this RFQ in writing by e-mail or mail to the Managing Office for the Selection Process, and received no later than the due date indicated in the Selection Process Schedule. The Trustees will not consider questions received after the due date. Written responses to submitted questions will be sent by the Trustees to all registered Respondents. Respondents may request clarifications verbally, however, the Trustees will not consider verbal responses binding.
10 - RFQ CONFERENCE

The Trustees will hold a non-mandatory conference to discuss this RFQ and the delivery process on the date(s) indicated in the enclosed schedule. Below are the details for these meetings.

   RFQ Conference Time:  10:30 am, June 25, 2019
   RFQ Conference Location:  1600 Holloway Avenue, Corporate Yard 101

Attendees will be responsible for parking. Permits may be purchased at the parking garage (Lot 20) located next to Corporate Yard 101.

   -End of Request for Qualifications-
EXISTING SCIENCE WING TO REMAIN (45,141 GSF)

EXISTING SCIENCE FOOTPRINT

NEW SCIENCE SITE BOUNDARY
FIRST FLOOR SCOPE OF RENOVATION

EXISTING WING TO BE DEMOLISHED

LECTURE TO REMAIN TO BE DEMOLISHED

CHEMISTRY TO REMAIN

RENOVATE TO MEET CAMPUS STANDARDS FOR FUTURE USE

RFQ E001017
Exhibit A, Page 3 of 4
SECOND FLOOR SCOPE OF RENOVATION

EXISTING WING TO BE DEMOLISHED

LECTURE TO REMAIN

LECTURE TO REMAIN

RENOVATE TO MEET CAMPUS STANDARDS FOR FUTURE USE

PHYSICS RESEARCH TO REMAIN

RENOVATE TO MEET CAMPUS STANDARDS FOR FUTURE USE

1" : 100' - 0"